XXVII. Managing Committee's resolution fixing the amount of security to be furnished by market darogas.

Resolved that Rs. 50 and 25 be fixed as the amount of security to be recovered from the Devaraj and Mandi Market Darogas respectively.

XXVIII. Sub-Committee's report regarding the case against Octroi Daroga, Mr. Ramachandra Chetty.

Resolved that the Sub-Committee's report be accepted but that a compassionate allowance of Rs. 20 per mensem, be recommended instead of a superannuation pension.

T. G. LAKSHMANA RAO,
President.

UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST MEETING OF THE SENATE IN THE HALL OF THE PUBLIC OFFICES, MYSORE, ON THURSDAY THE 12TH OCTOBER 1916, AT 12 NOON.

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, the Chancellor, was received by the Vice-Chancellor and the members of the University Council. On His Highness the Chancellor being seated, the Fellows of the University were presented in turn by the Vice-Chancellor.

The Registrar then read the Report of the inception of the University, and its working up-to-date. The Vice-Chancellor next delivered the Address, and the formal and public part of the proceedings came to a close with a speech from His Highness the Chancellor.

The business part of the Meeting began at 1-30 P.M.

PRESENT.

Rajamantrapravina H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A., M.L., C.I.E., *Vice-Chancellor and Chairman.*
1. Rao Bahadur Mr. M. Shama Rao, M.A.
2. Mr. J. G. Tait, M.A.
3. ,, T. Denham, M.A.
4. ,, E. P. Metcalfe, B.Sc.
5. Rajamantrapravina, Dewan Bahadur Mr. J. S. Chakravarti, M.A., F.R.A.S.
6. Mr. Mir Humza Hussain, B.A., B.L.
7. Rao Bahadur Mr. M. C. Rangiengar, B.A.
8. Mr. B. Hanumanta Rau, B.A., I.S.O.
9. Sir Leslie C. Miller, KT.
10. Mr. R. H. Campbell, C.I.E., I.C.S.
11. Rajasabhabhushana, Dewan Bahadur Mr K. P. Puttanna Chetty.
12. The Hon. and the Revd. G. Pittendrigh, M.A.
13. Dr. Alfred Hay, B.Sc.
14. Rev. Father Tabard, M.A.
15. Rajasabhabhushana Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav, B.Sc., L.C.E.
16. Dewan Bahadur Mr. C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A., B.L.
17. Rajakaryapravina Rao Bahadur Mr. T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar, M.B., C.M.
18. Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, B.A., B.L.
19. Rao Bahadur Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar, B.A., L.C.E.
20. Mr. Mirza M. Ismail, B.A.
21. ,, K. R. Srinivasiengar, M.A.
22. ,, K Chandy, B.A.
23. ,, C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.
24. ,, D. M. Narasinga Rao, B.A., B.L.
25. Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao, M.A., M.D., D.PH.
26. Mr. H. B. Mylvaganam, F.R.C.S.
27. ,, B. Nagappa, Bar-at-Law.
28. ,, F. R. Sell, M.A.
29. ,, M. T. Narayana Iyengar, M.A.
30. ,, N. S. Subba Rao, M.A.
31. ,, A. B. Mackintosh, M.A.
32. ,, E. G. McAlpine, M.A.
33. ,, M. Venkatakrishnayya.
34. ,, Arnold W. Gunstone, M. Sc.
35. Praktana Vimarsa Vichakshna, Rao Bahadur Mr. R. Narasimhachar, M.A.
36. Mr. K. S. Sankaranarayana Rao, M.A., B.L.
37. ,, A. Mahadeva Sastri, B.A.
38. ,, C. M. Vijayaraghavachar, B.A.
39. ,, S. Krishnaswamiengar, M.A.
40. ,, V. Subramanya Iyer, B.A.
41. ,, B. Venkatanaranappa, M.A.
42. ,, M. Krishna Iyengar, B.A.
43. ,, M. Hiriyanna, M.A., L.T.
44. ,, B. M. Srikantia, M.A., B.L.
45. The Rev. A. R. Fuller, B.A.
46. Mr. C. Krishna Rao, B.A.

ABSENT.

1. Munir-ul-talim Mr. H. J. Bhabha, M.A.
2. Dr. F. W. Smeeth, M.A., D.Sc.
3. Mr. K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyer, B.A., B.L.
4. Dr. Leslie C. Coleman, M.A., PH.D.
5. Mr. V. Rangaswamiengar, A.C.E., B.C.E.
6. ,, F. L. Usher, B.Sc.
7. ,, V. S Sambasiva Iyer, B.Sc., L.C.E.
8. ,, C. R. Reddy, M.A.
9. ,, H. R. Krishnamurthi, B.A.

II. Rules of business of the Senate.

Proposed by Mr. Denham and seconded by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar that the draft rules of business of the Senate be passed.

Resolved that the draft rules be passed subject to the understanding that amendments, if any, may be suggested by the members and placed before the next or any subsequent meetings for consideration.

III. Assignment of Fellows to the Faculties.

(ORDINANCE 15 *b*.)

FACULITY OF SCIENCES.

(*a*) Proposed by Mr. R. Narasimhachar and seconded by Revd. Father Tabard that the following Fellows of the University be assigned to the Faculty of Sciences :—

1. Dr. Alfred Hay.
2. „ T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar.
3. „ L. C. Coleman.
4. Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar.
5. Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao.
6. Dr. H. B. Mylvaganam.
7. Mr. Arnold W. Gunstone.
8. „ C M. Vijayaraghavachar.
9. „ B. Venkatanaranappa.
10. „ B. Hanumanta Rau.

(*b*) Proposed by Mr. Balasundaram Iyer and seconded by Mr. N. S. Subba Rao that Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar's name be included.

(*c*) Proposed by Mr. N. S. Subba Rao and seconded by Mr. B. Nagappa that Mr. B. Balasundaram Iyer's name be included.

(*d*) Proposed by Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav and seconded by Mr. M. Venkatakrishnayya that Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao's name be included.

(*e*) Proposed by Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty and seconded by Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar that Mr. Raghavendra Rao's name be included.

(*f*) Proposed by Mr. Mr. M. C. Rangiengar and seconded by Mr. Chakravarti that Mr. C. Srikantesvara Aiyar's name be included.

(*g*) Proposed by Mr. Chakravarti and seconded by Mr. Balasundaram Iyer that Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav's name be included.

(*h*) Proposed by Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao and seconned by Mr. Mir Humza Hussain that Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao's name be included.

(*i*) Proposed by Mr. Hanumanta Rau and seconded by Mr. N. S. Subba Rao that Mr. Chakravarti's name be included.

(*j*) Proposed by Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar and seconded by Mr. M. Shama Rao that Mr. Mirza M. Ismail's name be included.

Votes were then taken for the selection of ten names out of the above nineteen. The result of the election was as follows:—

(1) Dr. Alford Hay.
(2) „ L. C. Coleman.
(3) „ M. Srinivasa Rao.
(4) „ C. M. Vijayaraghavachar.
(5) „ B. Venkatanaranappa.
(6) Mr. B. Hanumantha Rau
(7) „ K. Krishna Iyengar.
(8) „ Arnold W. Gunstone.
(9) Dr. Mylvaganam.
(10) „ T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar.

Resolved that these ten Fellows be assigned to the Faculty of Sciences.

FACULTY OF ARTS.

Proposed by Mr. J. S. Chakravarti and seconded by Mr. N. S. Subba Rao that the following Fellows be assigned to the *Faculty of Arts* :—

1. Mr. M. Shama Rao.
2. „ R. Narasimhachar.
3. „ M. C. Rangiengar.
4. „ M. Venkatakrishnayya.
5. „ Mir Humza Hussain.
6. Mr. Thos. Denham.
7. Rev. Father Tabard.
8. Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao.
9. „ C. Krishna Rao.
10. „ V. Subramanya Iyer.

(*a*) Proposed by Mr. Balasundaram Iyer and seconded by Mr. K. R. Srinivasiengar that the following names be included :—

1. Mr. B. M. Srikantia.
2. „ Mirza M. Ismail.
3. Mr. A. Mahadeva Sastri.
4. „ M. Hiriyanna.

(*b*) Proposed by Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty and seconded by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar that the names of the undermentioned Fellows also be included :—

1. Mr. R. H. Campbell.
2. „ K. Chandy.
3. Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao.

(*c*) Proposed by Mr. Sell and seconded by Mr. Mackintosh that the name of the Rev. A. R. Fuller be included.

(*d*) Proposed by Dr. Mylvaganam and seconded by Mr. V. Subramanya Iyer that Mr. S. Krishnaswamiengar's name be included.

(*e*) Proposed by Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao and seconded by Mr. M. Venkatakrishnayya that the following names be included :—

1. Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.
2. „ Karpur Shrinivasarav.
3. Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar.

(*f*) Proposed by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar and seconded by Mr. Hanumanta Rau that Sir Leslie C. Miller's name be included.

(*g*) Proposed by Mr. Nagappa and seconded by Mr. Chandy that Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao's name be included.

(*h*) Proposed by the Rev. A. R. Fuller and seconded by Mr. B. Nagappa that the Rev. G. Pittendrigh's name be included.

(*i*) Proposed by Mr. M. Hiriyanna and seconded by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar that Mr. J. S. Chakravati's name be included.

Votes were then taken for the selection of ten names out of these twenty-six names.

The result of the election was as follows :—

(1) Mr. Mir Humza Hussain.
(2) „ Thos. Denham.
(3) „ Rev. Father Tabard.
(4) „ M. Shama Rao.
(5) „ R. H. Campbell.
(6) Rev. A. R. Fuller.
(7) Mr. B. M. Srikantia.
(8) „ R. Narasimhachar.
(9) Sir Leslie C. Miller.
(10) Mr. S. Krishnaswamiengar.

(Mr. K. Chandy and Mr. Krishnaswamiengar having equal votes, Mr. Chandy resigned in favour of the latter.)

Resolved that these ten fellows be assigned in the Faculty of Arts.

V. Constitution of the Board of Audit.

Proposed by Mr. J. S. Chakravarti and seconded by Mr. Mir Humza Hussain that the following Fellows be requested to form the Board of Audit :—

(1) Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar.
(2) Rev. A. R. Fuller
(3) Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar

Mr. M. C. Rangiengar proposed an amendment which was seconded by Mr. Balasundram Iyer that the names of Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao and Mr. C. M. Vijayaraghavachar be included.

The Rev. A. R. Fuller and Mr. Raghavendra Rao withdrew.

Resolved that the following gentlemen be appointed to form the Board of Audit :—

(1) Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar.
(2) „ K. Krishna Iyengar.
(3) Mr. C. M. Vijayaraghavachar.

Proposed by the Rev. A. R. Fuller and seconded by Mr. K. Chandy that the names of Fellows proposed for election, but who were not elected, be recommended to the Council for election under Ordinance 15 (*c*). Put to the vote and lost.

I. Questions and Answers.

Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao :—

1. *a.* Question.—What is meant by the expression "membership of the University" and the word "member" used in clause i, sub-section 2 of section 21 of the Mysore University Regulation, No. V. of 1916?

Answer.—It is meant to include a larger body than Professors, Fellows, etc., as for example, Under-graduates.

b. Question.—Whether the expression includes "the authorities and officers of the University" as defined in section 8 of the Regulation?

Answer.—It has apparently been considered unnecessary to define the expression.

2. Question.—Section 10, clause 2 of the Regulation provides that the Council shall consist of some *ex-officio* members and of members appointed by the Chancellor under sub-clause (v) of clause 2. But the proviso adds that the Government may, at any time, direct that all or any of those who are not *ex-officio* members may be elected by the Senate.

Does the proviso to section 10 referred to give power to Government to modify the powers of the Chancellor referred to in sub-clause (v) of sub-section 2 of section 10 of the Regulation?

Answer.—Apparently yes.

3. The courses of study prescribed in sections 50 and 51 of the First Ordinances of the Mysore University, for the B.A. and B.Sc. Degree Examinations are almost

identical so far as the languages and Science groups are concerned. (*Vide* section 50, I, II and III A and section 51, clauses I, II and III.)

a. Question.—Is any real distinction intended between the two degrees?
Answer.—Yes.

b. Question.—If so, in what particulars?
Answer.—Less of English and less of second language and more of the special subjects, have to be studied in the B.Sc. Course.

c. Question.—Should there not be more of scientific instruction and less of language for the B.Sc. than for B.A. Course?
Answer.—Yes.

d. Question.—Have any other Universities conferring B.Sc. degrees similar courses for the B.Sc. in languages?
Answer.—Will be answered at the next meeting, if repeated.

4. Question.—Is it proposed to appoint any Examiners from outside the Mysore Province for the University Entrance Examination and the First Year Course of the B.A. Examination?
Answer.—For appointing external examiners no distinction is considered necessary between those in and those outside the State.

5. Question.—Have the time *when* and the places *where* the Examinations are to be held, been fixed yet?
Answer.—No.

The consideration of the remaining propositions was postponed to the next meeting.

Resolved that the next meeting be held on Friday the 17th November 1916 at 1 P.M.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND MEETING OF THE SENATE HELD IN THE HALL OF THE MAHARAJA'S COLLEGE, MYSORE, ON FRIDAY THE 17TH NOVEMBER 1916 AT 1 P.M.

PRESENT.

Rajamantrapravina H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A., M.L., C.I.E.,
Vice-Chancellor and Chairman.

1. Rao Bahadur Mr. M. Shama Rao, M.A.
2. Mr. J. G. Tait, M.A.
3. Mr. Thos. Denham, M.A.
4. C. R. Reddy, M.A.
5. Mr. E. P. Metcalfe, B.SC.
6. Rajamantrapravina Dewan Bahadur Mr. J. S. Chakravarti, M.A., F.R.A.S.
7. Rao Bahadur Mr. M. C. Rangiengar, B.A.
8. Mr. Mir Humza Hussain, B.A., B.L.
9. Mr. B. Hanumanta Rau, B.A., I.S.O.
10. Sir Leslie C. Miller, Kt.
11. Mr. R. H. Campbell, C.I.E., I.C.S.
12. Rajasabhabhushana Dewan Bahadur Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty.
13. Dr. Alfred Hay, D.SC.
14. The Rev. Father Tabard, M.A.
15. Rajasabhabhushana Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav, B.SC., L.C.E.
16. Dewan Bahadur Mr. C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A., B.L.
17. Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, B.A., B.L.
18. Rao Bahadur Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar, B.A., L.C.E.
19. Mr. V. Rangaswamiengar, A.C.E., B.C.E.
20. Mr. Mirza M. Ismail, B.A.
21. Mr. K. Chandy, B.A.
22. Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao, M.A., M.D., D.P.H.
23. Dr. H. B. Mylvaganam, F.R.A.S.
24. Mr. F. L. Usher, B.SC.
25. Mr. V. S. Sambasiva Iyer, B.SC., L.C.E.
26. Mr. F. R. Sell, M.A.
27. Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar, M.A.
28. Mr. N. S. Subba Rao, M.A.
29. Mr. A. B. Mackintosh, M.A.
30. Mr. E. G. McAlpine, M.A.
31. Mr. M. Venkatakrishnayya.
32. Mr. Arnold W. Gunstone, M.SC.
33. Praktanavimarsa Vichakshana Rao Bahadur Mr. R. Narasimhachar, M.A.
34. Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, M.A., B.L.
35. Mr. A. Mahadeva Sastri, B.A.
36. Mr. C. M. Vijayaraghavachar, B.A.
37. Mr. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar, M.A.
38. Mr. V. Subramanya Iyer, B.A.
39. Mr. B. Venkatavaranappa, M.A.
40. Mr. H. R. Krishnamurti, B.A.
41. Mr. M. Krishna Iyengar, B.A.
42. Mr. M. Hiriyanna, M.A., L.T.
43. Mr. B. M. Srikantaiya, M.A., B.L.
44. The Rev. A. R. Fuller, B.A.
45. Mr. C. Krishna Rau, B.A.

ABSENT.

1. Munir-ul-talim Mr. H. J. Bhabha, M.A.
2. The Hon'ble the Rev. G. Pittendirgh, M.A.
3. Mr. K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, B.A., B.L.
4. Rajakaryapravina Rao Bahadur Mr. T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar, M.B., C.M.
5. Dr. Leslie C. Coleman, M.A., PH.D.
6. Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, M.A.
7. Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.
8. Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, B.A., B.L.
9. B. Nagappa, Bar.-at-Law.
10. Dr. Smeeth (absent on combined leave).

AGENDA.

1. CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING.—

Proposed by Mr. R. H. Campbell and seconded by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar that the minutes of the last meeting be confirmed.—Carried.

2. FIXING THE TIME AND PLACE FOR THE UNIVERSITY ENTRANCE EXAMINATION, 1917.—

Proposed by Mr. Thos. Denham and seconded by Mr. M. Shama Rao that the examination be held at Bangalore and Mysore early in April every year.

In this connection, Mr. Denham explained that there was no need to hold an examination at Tumkur as only 16 students would probably sit for the examination and as suitable accommodation could be had at the Central College Hostel for these students.—Carried.

3. SELECTION OF NAMES TO BE RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNCIL FOR APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF FACULTIES UNDER ORDINANCE 15 (*c*).—

Proposed by Mr. M. Hiriyanna and seconded by Mr. M. Venkatakrishnaiya that the following names be recommended to the Council for appointment to the *Faculty of Arts* under Ordinance 15 (*c*):—

(1) Mr. Bapu Subba Rao, B.A.
(2) „ S. V. Krishnaswami Iyengar, M.A., B.L.
(3) „ D. Venkataramiah, B.A., L.T.
(4) „ B. R. Krishnamachar, B.A., B.L.
(5) Dr. Paul Chinnappa, M.A., PH.D.
(6) Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B.
(7) „ K. Matthan, B.A.
(8) „ B. Basavaradhya, B.A., B.L.
(9) „ D. Venkataramayya, B.A. (Advocate, Bangalore).

Mr. B. Venkatanaranappa raised the question whether some from among the Fellows might not be selected for the purposes of Ordinance 16 (*c*). Mr. J. S. Chakravarti thought that the Ordinances permitted of that course being adopted and proposed that the Senate might make selection from among the Fellows also.

Mr. M. C. Rangiengar explained that Ordinance 15 (*c*) did not exclude those coming under Ordinance 15 (*a*) or 15 (*b*).

The question was put to the vote. Twenty-four were for and ten against Mr. J. S. Chakravarty's view. The more liberal construction of the Ordinances was therefore accepted.

The following additional names were then proposed for the Faculty of Arts:—

Name proposed.	*Proposer.*	*Seconder.*
Mr. K. Chandy ...	Mr. Mir Humza Hussain ...	Mr. B. Venkatnaranappa.
„ P. S. Annaji Rao ...	„ N. S. Subba Rao ...	„ Thos. Denham.
„ M. Hiriyanna ...	„ B. Hanumanta Rau ...	„ M. Shama Rao.
„ Karpur Srinivasarav ...	„ J. S. Chakravarti ...	„ M. T. Narayana Iyengar
Rev. R. W. Boote ...	„ A. B. Mackintosh ...	„ Shankaranarayana Rao.
Mr. M. C. Rangiengar ...	„ M. Venkatakrishnayya...	„ K. P. Puttanna Chetty.
„ M. Venkatakrishnaiya...	„ M. Shama Rao ...	„ Karpur Srinivasrav.
„ V. Subramanya Iyer ...	„ J. S. Chakravarti ...	„ M. Shama Rao.
Dr. Venkatasubbiah ...	„ R. Narasimhachar ...	„ M. C. Rangiengar.
Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty ...	„ M. C. Rangiengar ...	„ M. Venkatakrishnayya.
„ R. Shama Sastri ...	„ S. Krishnaswami Iyengar	„ N. S. Subba Rao.
„ Mirza Ismail ...	„ Mir Humza Hussain ...	„ K. P. Puttanna Chetty.
„ M. Krishna Iyengar ...	„ M. Venkatakrishnayya...	„ M. C. Rangiengar.
„ M. T. Narayana Iyengar	„ B. Venkatanaranappa ...	„ V. Subramanya Iyer.

(At this stage Mr. B. Hanumanta Rau raised an objection that the Fellow of one Faculty should not sit on another Faculty, and referred to a rule passed by the Madras University. Mr. J. S. Chakravarti pointed out that there was no such restriction in the Calcutta University, and the objection was allowed to drop.)

Name of proposed.	*Proposer.*	*Seconder.*
Rev. E. W. Thompson ...	Mr. F. R. Sell ...	Mr. M. C. Rangiengar.
Mr. Kurthukote Mahabhagavat	„ Karpur Shrinivasrav ...	„ V. Subramanya Iyer.

Votes were then taken for the selection of ten names out of the above 25. The result of the election was as follows:—

(1) Mr. Bapu Subba Rao, B.A.
(2) „ M. Hiriyanna, M.A., L.T.
(3) The Rev. E. W. Thompson, M.A.
(4) „ K. Matthan, B.A.
(5) Dr. Paul Chinnappa M.A., PH.D.
(6) The Rev. R. W. Boote, B.SC.
(7) Mr. R. Shama Sastri, B.A.
(8) „ M. C. Rangiengar, B.A.
(9) „ V. Subramanya Iyer, B.A.
(10) „ D. Venkataramiah, B.A., L.T.

Resolved that these ten be recommended to the Council for appointment to the *Faculty of Arts*.

Proposed by Mr. V. S. Sambasiva Iyer and seconded by Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao that the following names be recommended to the Council for appointment to the *Faculty of Science* under Ordinance 15 (c) :—

(1) Mr. B. Venkatesachar, M.A.
(2) „ C. R. Narayana Rao, M.A., L.T.
(3) „ V. Lakshmipathiah, B.A.
(4) „ A. Venkata Rao Telang, M.A.
(5) „ N. Venkatesa Iyengar, B.A.
(6) Mr. H. V. Krishnayya, B.A., F.C.S.
(7) Dr. Mahomed Usman, L.M.S.
(8) „ A. K. Pani, L.M.S., DIP.H.
(9) „ A. K. Yegnarayana Iyer, M.A., ETC.

The following additional names were then proposed :—

Name proposed.	*Proposer.*	*Seconder.*
1. Mr. M. G. Srinivasa Rao	Mr. E. P. Metcalfe ...	Mr. Venkatanaranappa.
2. „ B. Sanjiva Rao ...	Do ...	Do
3. „ Karunakaran Nayar	„ Venkatanaranappa ...	„ K. Chandy.
4. Rev. Mr. Boote ...	„ S. Krishnaswami Iyengar.	Rev. Mr. Fuller.
5. Mr. K. R. Srinivasiengar	„ M. T. Narayana Iyengar	Mr. B. Hanumanta Rao.
6. „ K. R. Ramaswami Iyengar. (Engineering College, Madras.)	Do ...	Do
7. Mr. Gopalaswami Iyengar	Mr. J. S. Chakravarti ...	Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyenga
8. J. S. Chakravarti ...	„ Vijayaraghavachar ...	Do
9. „ C. Srikantaiya ...	„ Venkatanaranappa ...	„ Subramanya Iyer.
10. „ L. Subba Rao ...	„ V. S. Sambasiva Iyer ...	„ Venkatanaranappa.
11. „ K. Shankaranarayana Rao.	„ Mir Humza Hussain ...	„ Subramanya Iyer.
12. „ T. Lakshminarasimha Rao.	„ K. Krishna Iyengar ...	„ Karpur Shrinivasrav.
13. Dr. Watson ...	„ J. S. Chakravarti ...	„ M. Shama Rao.
14. Mr. S. H. Lakshminarasappa.	„ N. S. Subba Rao ...	„ B. M. Srikantiah.
15. Col. Standage ...	„ A. B Mackintosh ...	„ M. Krishna Iyengar.
16. Dr. S. Subba Rao ...	Do ...	Do
17. Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav	„ K. P. Puttanna Chetty	„ Venkatakrishnayya.
18. „ P. S. Achyuta Rao	„ M. C. Rangiengar ...	Do

Votes were then taken for the selection of ten names out of the above 27. The result of the election was as follows :—

(1) Mr. B. Venkatesachar, M.A.
(2) „ C. R. Narayana Rao, M.A., L.T.
(3) Dr. S. Subba Rao, B.A., M.B.C.M., ETC.
(4) Mr. L. Subba Rao, B.A.
(5) „ N. Venkatesa Iyengar, B.A.
(6) Mr. A. K. Yegnarayana Iyer, M.A., ETC
(7) Dr. Watson.
(8) Mr. B. Venkata Rao Telang, M.A.
(9) „ Karunakaran Nayar, M.A.
(10) „ B. Sanjiva Rao, B.A.

Resolved that these ten names be recommended to the Council for appointment to the *Faculty of Science*.

At this stage Mr. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar moved that proposition (7) be taken up first. Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar seconded it. Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar explained that, if that were done, consideration of proposition (4) would be superfluous.

Mr. Chandy opposed. Mr. Chakravarti in opposing stated that it was an illogical proposition and that if it were allowed, most of the urgent propositions would be put off.

Votes were then taken. Seven were for, the majority being against.

Resolved that the order in the agenda be followed.

4. PROPOSITIONS BY MR. M. T. NARAYANA IYENGAR.

(1) That a Special Board be appointed to consider and moderate the tabulated marks of the University Entrance Examination, as in the case of the Madras University Matriculation and Intermediate Examinations.

Proposed by Mr. Narayana Iyengar and seconded by Mr. Subramanya Iyer.

The Vice-Chancellar invited the attention of the Senate to Ordinance 14 (ii) and explained that the Council had power to appoint Boards of Examiners and Moderators, that if the Senate passed a resolution that a Board of Moderators should be appointed, Council would appoint the Board.

Mr. Hanumantha Rau saw no special necessity for the proposed Board.

The proposition was put to the vote. Nineteen were for and 2 against.—Carried.

(2) That the first year Certificate Examination be optional in the case of students proceeding to the Mysore University Degree Examinations, and that it shall not be allowed to interfere with University Course of studies.

Proposed by Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and seconded by Mr. M. C. Rangiengar.

The Vice-Chancellor explained that Ordinance 59 laid down the conditions required to secure a pass in the Entrance Examination and that Ordinance 60 applied to a similar rule in the case of those "who wish to obtain qualifying certificates" at the first year's examination. If the Senate adopted the motion, it would have to be decided whether there would be a separate examination for promotion or not, and whether there should be two different examinations. Ordinance 60 apply only to those who desired to discontinue their course in the University; the results of other candidates being judged only by the College authorities for the purpose of promotion. Those who obtained the minimum number of marks prescribed by Ordinance 60 would alone be entitled to obtain certificates; but promotion would be decided by the College authorities who would have power to suitably moderate the results of the examination in the light of the College record and other factors.

Mr. McAlpine wish to know whether the Madras University had agreed to accept the examination at the end of the first year under the Mysore University. The Vice-Chancellor replied that they had not yet done so.

Mr. J. S. Chakravarti thought that in view of that circumstance it was desirable to have a public examination (instead of one public and one college) with a uniform standard and to make it compulsory for all students as indicating a definite standard.

Mr. Shankarnarayana Rao, Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav and Mr. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar also agreed in the view expressed by Mr. Chakravarti.

Mr. Mir Humza Hussain and Mr. Chandy supported Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar's proposition. After this discussion Mr. Narayana Iyengar asked for leave to withdraw his proposition. Mr. Chandy opposed.

Twenty-five were for granting leave and 9 against. Resolved that Mr. Narayana Iyengar be allowed to withdraw his proposition.

(3) (*a*) That Athletics and Games be made compulsory during the first two years of the University Course.

Proposed by Mr. Narayana Iyengar and seconded by Mr. Chandy.

Rev. Mr. Fuller opposed the motion and said that by adopting the proposition the University would be reduced to the level of a High School. Mr. Thos. Denham also opposed and referred to the activities of the Committee appointed by the Council for the medical inspection of students. The Vice-Chancellor further explained that the Committee's recommendations had been adopted by the Council and that it was intended to place the matter before the next meeting of the Senate.

Mr. Chakravarti said that an almost identical subject had been proposed by him for the consideration of the Council.

In view of these discussions Mr. Narayana Iyengar withdrew his proposition.

(*b*) That religious knowledge be insisted upon as part of the University Course (though not as part of the University scheme of examinations) and that facilities be provided for imparting the same in the University Hostels and Unions.

Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar proposed, Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav seconded and Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar supported the proposition.

Mr. B. M. Srikantiah wished to know whether the first part of the proposition referred to the students in the class and the latter to those in Hostels and Unions.

Mr. Narayana Iyengar explained that his idea was that religious knowledge should form part of the University Course.

Mr. Puttanna Chetty opposed the motion and in doing so referred to the discussion at the Legislative Council. He said that the Mysore University was not a denominational University and that it was a wiser plan not to bring the matter within the arena of discussion at all. The subject had been discussed elsewhere and opposed.

Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao also opposed the motion. He said that it would be impolitic and impractical to impose compulsory religious training in a University Scheme and that religious instruction should be pursued and completed in the home.

Mr. Mackintosh also opposed the motion and drew an instructive contrast between the practices obtaining in the Scotch and the older English Universities.

Mr. Mir Humza Hussain also opposed the motion. He said that the subject had been discussed by the Economic Conference and the matter was not pursued further. He thought that an attitude of strict neutrality should be maintained and proposed that the question be dropped.

Mr. Campbell thought that religious instruction without examination was useless, and he would like to know exactly if the mover wished to make religious instruction compulsory or optional.

The Rev. A. R. Fuller proposed that the proposition might be put to the vote and Mr. K. Chandy seconded.

The proposition was accordingly put to the vote. Seven were for; the majority being against the motion, it was lost.

(4) That in Ordinance 62, line 3, the number 60 be changed to 50.

Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar moved the proposition.

The Vice-Chancellor explained the circumstances under which Ordinance 62 was inserted.

Mr. Hanumanta Rau moved his amendment noted below and in view of the fact that Ordinances 59 and 60 having already been dealt with, begged leave to refer only to Ordinances 61 and 62.

The Amendment—"That a Committee of the Senate consisting of Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar, Sir Leslie Miller, Mr. J. S. Chakravarti, Mr. N. S. Subba Rao and the mover be appointed to consider and report on Ordinances No. 59-62."

Mr. V. Subramanya Iyer seconded it.

The Vice-Chancellor ruled it out as not being strictly an amendment.

The proposition was then taken up for consideration. Mr. J. S. Chakravarti proposed that the whole question might be considered after Mr. Hanumanta Rau brought forward his fresh proposition.

At this stage Mr. C. R. Reddy proposed under Rule 52 of the Rules for the conduct of business of the Senate that the subject brought forward by Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar (Convener), Sir Leslie C. Miller, Mr. J. S. Chakravarti, Mr. N. S. Subba Rao and Mr. B. Hanumanta Rau.

Mr. R. H. Campbell seconded it.—Carried unanimously.

4. (5) That in connection with Ordinance 58, a Committee be appointed to lay down a working scheme applicable to all University candidates.—Withdrawn.

5. Proposed by Mr. K. Chandy, B.A.—That a select Committee of the Senate be appointed consisting of the following members, *viz.* :—

Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana	Mr. V. Rangaswami Iyengar.
Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty.	,, C. R. Reddy.
,, M. Venkatakrishnayya.	,, H. R. Krishnamurti and the mover
,, Mirza Md. Ismail.	of the proposition, with Mr. C. R. Reddy as

Convener, to submit detailed proposals in respect to the Extension Scheme of the University.

Mr. Chandy moved the proposition and Mr. C. Krishna Rao seconded it.

Mr. Thos. Denham described as follows the action already taken by the Council under Ordinance 73 :—

A small preliminary Committee was appointed by the Council in August, and the report of this Committee was referred to the Extension Lectures Board which was constituted by the Council in September. This Board consists of the following gentlemen :—

1. Mr. Thos. Denham, M.A. (Chairman)	5. Mr. E. P. Metcalfe, B.Sc.
2. Mr. V. Rangaswami Iyengar, A.C.G.I., B.C.E.	6. Mr. M. C. Rangaiengar, B.A.
3. Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao, M.A., M.D., etc.	7. Mr. N. S. Subba Rao, M.A.
4. Mr. C. R. Reddy, M.A.	8. Mr. C. R. Narayana Rao, M.A., L.T.

The following arrangements have been made for the current academic year :—

Professor N. S. Subba Rao	...	Introduction to the study of Economics.
Professor S. Krishnaswami Iyengar	...	History of Vijayanagar.
Mr. B. Venkatesachar	...	Popular Astronomy.
Mr. C. R. Narayana Rao	...	Snakes.
Dr. Srinivasa Rao	...	Parasitical Diseases.

The last four lectures are to be illustrated by lantern slides, some of them specially prepared for the occasion. All lectures are to be free, but admission by ticket. Each lecture will be followed by class instruction to such as wish to take up the subject seriously.

Mr. Chakravarti thought that as the question had not been lost sight of, and that as the matter was one devolving on the Council there was no necessity for a separate Committee, and suggested that the proposition might be withdrawn.

Mr. Chandy however pressed for the consideration of the proposition and said that under the University Scheme as finally sanctioned by Government, the extension work had taken a less important place than what he had expected, and that his proposition was intended to recommend to the Senate and ultimately to Government the great importance of extension work. He thought the ideal to be aimed at was that not merely every student but every man in the State should look up to the University for light and guidance, and that along with the conferring of benefits on the alumni of the University should also go the shouldering of responsibility by them.

Mr. Denham explained that a scheme for the permanent organisation of the Extension Lectures Bureau was being formulated by Mr. N. S. Subba Rao and that it was intended to carry extension work out into the districts.

The proposition was then put to the vote. Four were for, the majority being against it.—Lost.

6. (1) Proposed by Mr. Sankaranarayana Rao, M.A., B.L.—

That in the opinion of the Senate the study of Kannada should be made compulsory wherever the study of a second language is provided for in the courses of study in the Mysore University.—Withdrawn.

(2) That a University Professor of Kannada should be appointed, of the qualifications that may be fixed by the University Council.

In moving the proposition Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao referred to the activities of the Telugu people for securing a separate University and added that his proposition was intended to improve the Kannada language and give it the status it deserved. He also added that the scheme had the advantage of attracting all the Kannada speaking people, even of the Madras and Bombay Presidencies, and that as regards endowments also facilities would be created.

Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty seconded the proposition and stated that in his opinion it was the bounden duty of the University to raise the status of the Kannada language and that one method for doing so was to appoint a Professor of Kannada.

Mr. J. S. Chakravarti pointed out that the work which was to be done in both the Colleges was of the same kind and that one Professor of Kannada would not be enough. He thought that if it were possible to arrange to get a type of man who could not be obtained at present, that was a different matter; but if it was only a new name for the old appointment, the matter might be postponed.

The Vice-Chancellor explained that Kannada was a second language, that it was under contemplation to open M. A. courses and that it might then become necessary to appoint a Professor with high qualifications, such as a knowledge of the other Dravidian languages, Sanskrit and English.

Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao finally stated that his idea in moving the proposition was that as much preliminary investigation was necessary to raise the status of Kannada, a Kannada Professorship should be created and applications invited. His idea was not that a Professor should necessarily be appointed immediately.

Votes were then taken. Twenty-three were for and 1 against.—Carried.

7. (1) Proposed by Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav :—

That a Committee consisting of—

1. Rao Bahadur Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar,
2. Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar,
3. Dr. Leslie C. Coleman,
4. Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer,
5. Dr. Alfred Hay,
6. Mr. N. S. Subba Rao,
7. Mr. M. Venkatakrishnayya,
8. Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao,
9. The mover,

be appointed to consider the Ordinances, etc., and submit their report in the course of a month and that the same be considered at an adjourned meeting of the Senate in the month of December.

Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav moved the proposition and in doing so proposed to omit the the word "etc." after "Ordinances."

Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar seconded it. At this stage the Vice-Chancellor explained the provisions of Section 21, sub-sections 3 and 4 of the Mysore University Regulation. Mr. Hanumanta Rau suggested that the proposal might be ruled out as being a large order.

Mr. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar opposed the motion. Mr. Sankaranarayana Rao also opposed the proposition and said that it would not be fair to appoint a Committee to investigate the whole Ordinances as proposed and that such action would also be likely to be misconstrued as a reflection cast on the framers of the Ordinances.

Mr. J. S. Chakravarti said that the proposition did not deserve to be thrown out with such violence as was suggested and that the only point for consideration was whether any useful purpose would be served by revising the Ordinances wholesale.

Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav replied in support of his proposition and added that he did not move it with a view to casting reflection on the framers of the Ordinances.

Votes were then taken; 3 were for the proposition, the majority being against it.—Lost.

7. (ii) That in Ordinance No. 5 the word "twice" be substituted for the word "once" and the words 'October or November and' be added after the word "and."

Mr. Karpur Shrinivasrav moved the proposition, and Mr. Puttanna Chetty seconded it, and stated that in the earlier stage of the working of the University the Senate should meet often.

The Vice-Chancellor explained that Ordinances 5 and 6 provided for as many meetings as might be necessary.

Mr. Chakravarti also pointed out that any six Fellows might call for a meeting and added that the Vice-Chancellor had also power to do so, and that though he was not against the proposition he did not consider that an obligatory provision in that respect was necessary.

The proposition was then put to the vote; 22 voted for and one against.—Carried.

The Meeting dissolved at 5-30 P.M.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Vice-Chancellor and Chairman.

SIDLAGHATTA MUNICIPALITY.

Bye-law regarding Vaccination framed under Rule 24 of the Rules under the Mysore Vaccination Regulation of 1900 and sanctioned by Government in their Order No. 4119—Ml. 62-16-13, dated 2nd January 1917.

1. The town of Sidlaghatta shall, for purposes of vaccination, be a single circle.

2. The vaccination depot for the circle shall be the Local Fund Dispensary.

3. The Municipal Council may appoint a wholetime vaccinator for the circle. When there is no wholetime vaccinator or during the Municipal vaccinator's absence, the Sub-Assistant Surgeon in charge of the Local Fund Dispensary, shall be the vaccinator for the circle. The District Sanitary Officer shall be the Superintendent of Vaccination.

4. Vaccination shall be performed on all days at the depot from 8 to 11 A.M., except on Sundays and authorised holidays. The hours of business shall be notified on a board placed in a conspicuous place at the depot.

5. The vaccinator shall in other than business hours vaccinate any person or his household at his request at his house within the circle. No fee shall be charged for vaccination performed at the depot and for vaccination of grown-up girls, adult females or children under the age of two years performed at any private residence. In other cases a fee of one anna per case and not exceeding four annas at a time per family may be charged by the vaccinator.

6. The vaccinator shall be furnished by the Sub-Registrar of Births and Deaths with a monthly statement showing the particulars of all births within the Municipal area.

7. The vaccinator shall be charged with the duty of :—

(*a*) Maintaining a vaccination register in the form prescribed by Government.

(*b*) Submitting weekly and monthly reports to the Vice-President as also to the District Sanitary Officer and to such other officer as may be specified by him.

(*c*) Granting certificates under Rules 6 and 9 of the Vaccination Rules.

(*d*) Preparing and submitting one month in advance his programme for the month to the District Sanitary Officer, who shall send it to the Vice-President for notification in the localities to facilitate the vaccination of unprotected persons.

(*e*) Maintaining a register showing name, age, sex, caste and residence of all unprotected children with a column for entering the date of vaccination when performed. The register shall be so written up that entries relating to each street may be in one place with sufficient space for the addition of fresh unprotected cases from time to time.

8. The Sanitary Inspector of the Municipality shall render all necessary aid to the vaccinator in the discharge of his duty.

P. F. BOWRING,
President.